

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,069	09/25/2003	Edmund J. Ring	58781US002	5858
32692 7.	590 12/13/2004		EXAM	INER
3M INNOVA PO BOX 3342	TIVE PROPERTIES	HOANG,	HOANG, TU BA	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3742	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\$ /			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/671,069	RING, EDMUND J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> is/a</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex</li> </ul>	re: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	# <b>□</b> • • • •	(070.440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:				

Art Unit: 3742

## **QUAYLE ACTION**

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

In claim 1, at line 9: between "resonant" and "circuit", the term "heating" should be inserted for being consistent.

In claim 4, at line 5: after "resonant", the phrase "heating circuit" should be inserted and at line 6: between "value" and "the pulse", the word "and" should be inserted for being consistent.

In claim 8, at line 4: between "resonant" and "circuit", the term "heating" should be inserted for being consistent, at line 5: before "level determinator", the article "a" should be inserted, and at line 8: the term "the" (before "predetermined minimum") should be changed to "a" (since this is the first occurrence of the predetermined minimum threshold value).

In claim 12, at lines 2-3: the phrase "the control signal" should be changed to "a switch control signal" because there is no "control signal" being recited earlier except a switch control signal generated by the pulse controller 28 shown in Figures 1,2, and 4, and at line 3: the phrase "power switch" should be changed to "resonant heating circuit" (as shown in Figures 1, 2, and 4) because the power switch which itself comprises the IGBT including the collector and should be connected to itself.

In claim 13, at lines 2, 3, 5, and 7: between "resonant" and "circuit", the term "heating" should be inserted in order to provide the heating function for the claimed method and to provide the consistency for the latter recitation of "resonant heating circuit" (i.e., see claim 15).

In claim 14, at line 1: before "switch", the term "power" should be inserted for being consistent.

In claim 16, at line 2: "an AC" should be changed to "the AC" since such AC source has already been cited in the preamble, at line 16: the term "power" should be changed to "voltage" because only the average voltage was earlier cited (note at line 13), and at lines 6, 10, 12, 13, and 16: The phrase "resonant circuit" (all occurrences) should be changed to "resonant heating circuit" for a better clarification and for at least providing the heating function for the claimed induction heating system (also note in claims 18, at line 2, in claim 19, at line 4, and in claim 21, at line 1).

In claim 17, at line 3: the phrase "power switch" should be changed to "resonant heating circuit" (as shown in Figures 1, 2, and 4) because the power switch which itself comprises the IGBT including the collector and should be connected to itself.

In claim 19, at line 4: the phrase "first and second capacitor" should be changed to "first and second capacitors".

In claim 20, at line 2: the phrase "the resistor" should be changed to "a resistor" since this is a first occurrence of the resistor.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Bailey (US 3,757,197), Trine (US 3,777,100), De Leon (US 4,292,487), Barritt et al (US 5,648,008), Green et al (US 6,163,019), and Ryan et al (US 6,649,888).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,069

Art Unit: 3742

Claims 1-22 are objected to as for the formal matters set forth above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not show or fairly suggest an induction heating system and method of heating thereof which includes a pulse initiator configured to provide a monitoring voltage or an average value of a peak voltage of an oscillating voltage across a resonant heating circuit and to provide a pulse initiation signal to initiate application of a subsequent DC voltage pulse to the resonant heating circuit in the manner recited in claims 1 and 16 (i.e., applying a subsequent DC voltage pulse to the resonant heating circuit upon detecting the average peak-to-peak voltage of the oscillating voltage across the resonant heating circuit as recited in claim 13).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Ba Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-fri from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

December 06, 2004